

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 24/1/24 Surveyor: FARID NANNIA

Tree details  
 TPO Ref (if applicable): Tree/Group No: T1 Species: PLATANUS X HISPANICA  
 Owner (if known): THCM Location: DINMONT ESTATE

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable
- 0) Dying/dangerous\* Unsuitable

Score & Notes THE TREE IS IN GOOD HEALTH AND VITALITY. VERY FEW MINOR 5 - DEFECTS WHICH IS TO BE EXPECTED FROM A TREE OF THIS AGE

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10\* Unsuitable

Score & Notes THIS IS A MATURE TREE THAT IS OVER 100 YEARS OLD. 4 - IN ITS CURRENT CONDITION THE TREE IS EXPECTED TO REACH FULL AGE

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

- Highly suitable
- Suitable
- Suitable
- Barely suitable
- Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes LARGE TREE 4 - CLEARLY VISABLE FROM THE PUBLIC HIGHWAY

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes

1

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes

2 - PERCEIVED THREAT TO TREE

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:

16

Decision:

DEFINITELY MERITS TPO

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 24/1/24 Surveyor: FARID NAWIA

Tree details  
 TPO Ref (if applicable): Tree/Group No: T2 Species: Sorbus Aria  
 Owner (if known): THCH Location: DINMONT ESTATE

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable
- 0) Dying/dangerous\* Unsuitable

Score & Notes THE TREE IS IN GOOD HEALTH AND VITALITY. A COUPLE OF MINOR 5- DEFECTS WHICH IS TO BE EXPECTED FROM A TREE OF THIS AGE

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10\* Unsuitable

Score & Notes THIS IS A MATURE TREE THAT IS OVER 60 YEARS OLD. 2 - IN ITS CURRENT CONDITION THE TREE IS EXPECTED TO REACH FULL

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the AGI potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

- Highly suitable
- Suitable
- Suitable
- Barely suitable
- Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes MEDIUM TREES 4-CLEARLY VISABLE FROM A PUBLIC HIGHWAY

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes 1

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes 2 - PERCEIVED THREAT TO TREE

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total: 14

Decision: TPO DEFENSIBLE